
Exhibit VIII. B.3.b Local Business Impact



Impact on Gaming Industry (Mid Scenario)

Source: The Innovation Group


Since Tioga Downs currently does not have a hotel, we have assumed that the vast majority of their gaming visitation is derived from the local market, and have no need to further analyze visitation from tourists and other sources. Although Tioga Downs will feel some impact from the introduction of Traditions, the overall market will significantly expand and, after stabilization, we feel that Tioga Downs will recover as is the case with other markets we've studied across the nation.

Impact on Hotel Industry (Mid Scenario)

As is the case with other casinos with a significant hotel offering, Traditions will have an active casino marketing program. As such, a large percentage of casino guests, generally around 60%, are casino guests who primarily visit the facility to gamble within the casino. The remaining 40% reflects ambient tourists that visit the area for other leisure or business reasons.

Based on this estimation, and occupancy projections derived in the Tech Memo provided by The Innovation Group, the hotel facility at Traditions offers a Room Night Demand ("RND") of 21,316 in year 3 outside of casino guests, which are primarily made up of additional tourists drawn to the region by the casino. The table below summarizes this calculation.

Exhibit VIII.B.3.b



Source: The Innovation Group

While occupancy at the Traditions hotel is projected to be approximately 75% during the stabilized years of operation, occupancy will likely be much higher during peak seasons and days such as weekends and holidays. During these times of the year, occupancy will likely reach 100%, and guests will look for other accommodations, providing growth to the surrounding hotel businesses in the Binghamton area. Based on this factor, occupancy is not expected to significantly change with the expansion of the Traditions hotel, as tourism will outgrow the additional hotel offering providing organic growth to the existing market.


Ambient Market Hotel Occupancy				
	2016	2017	2018	2019
# of Rooms	2,443	2,443	2,443	2,602
Occupancy	53%	56%	58%	61%
Total RND	469,032	499,349	517,183	579,335
Courses The Inneuration Crouns CTD				

Source: The Innovation Group; STR

Based on these estimates, the remaining RND from the Traditions Hotel represents only 1.2% of Total RND. Excluding RND generated by the hotel expansion, total RND in the region is expected to grow by 3.6%. This growth rate shows that RND in the Binghamton area is projected to grow by a higher rate than the percentage captured by traditions showing that the surrounding hotel industry will experience positive growth after the expansion of the Traditions facility.


Impact on Food and Beverage Industry (Mid Scenario)

As part of the expanded facility, the Traditions Casino is expected to provide an assortment of food and beverage outlets for guests to the property. As part of the Innovation Group's recommendation,



Source: The Innovation Group

Restaurant Meal Period operations



Source: The Innovation Group

Based on the proposed offering,





Exhibit VIII.B.3.b



Source: The Innovation Group

This leaves nearly 1.3 million available covers with the Traditions facility to be filled by ambient tourists and the local population. Numerous studies have shown that the US population eats out approximately 5 times per week. Based on this statistic, and the forecasted 2019 population of 222,371 people in the Binghamton area, the local population will demand nearly 58 million covers to the local market area. With the remaining capacity estimating calculated earlier, this estimation shows that only 2.2% of the demand will be captured by the Traditions facility.



Additionally, the local market will benefit from the additional tourism attracted to the area, along with the spillover from the Traditions food and beverage program. The remaining 80,000 covers (year 3 estimate) from hotel guests not staying at the Traditions facility will provide additional business to the surrounding market. Additionally, ambient tourists not staying at the Traditions facility will likely visit local restaurants as well.

Impacts of Ancillary Patron Spend

Based on the previous economic impact segments, we noted that there is a group of customers that are anticipated to spend money in the local community at hotels and food and beverage establishments. This group only consists of those individuals that are coming from outside the market as the local market is already being accounted for in the economic impact study of on-going operations. The philosophy that holds true in comparable markets is that the local guests will have food and beverage spending that is similar to what they spent prior to the opening of this facility as spending habits will not change outside of the casino just because a casino was opened. The only change in their spending habits comes as they make more money and have more discretionary income. In the previous economic impact sections, in the direct, indirect and induced categories, these folks and their additional spend is accounted for.

As such, the focus of this section is the out of market customers that come to the casino. The following breakdown shows the total ancillary patron spend for this group and the methodology used to calculate it.

Base Case

Impacts of Ancillary Patron Spend (Base Case)

Hotel Spillover

Total Out-of-Market Casino Visits

% of Out-of-Market Casino Visits from guests staying in a Hotel outside of Traditions

Total Casino Visits staying in outside hotels

ADR

Total Ancillary Patron Spend in Hotels

Other Spillover (includes, F&B, Entertainment, Retail, Transportation, etc.)

Total Out-of-Market Casino Visits

% of guests that will spend outside of the subject property

Total guests that will visit other attractions


Total Spend on non-gaming, non-hotel activities per day

% of spend outside of subject facility

Total Ancillary Patron Spend in the Community (excl. Hotel)

Total Ancillary Spend

Source: The Innovation Group, AGA 2013 Study, Atlantic City Profile Study 2013



Low-Case

Impacts of Ancillary Patron Spend (Low Case)

Hotel Spillover

Total Out-of-Market Casino Visits

% of Out-of-Market Casino Visits from guests staying in a Hotel outside of Traditions

Total Casino Visits staying in outside hotels

ADR

Total Ancillary Patron Spend in Hotels

Other Spillover (includes, F&B, Entertainment, Retail, Transportation, etc.)

Total Out-of-Market Casino Visits

% of guests that will spend outside of the subject property

Total guests that will visit other attractions


Total Spend on non-gaming, non-hotel activities per day

% of spend outside of subject facility

Total Ancillary Patron Spend in the Community (excl. Hotel)

Total Ancillary Spend

Source: The Innovation Group, AGA 2013 Study, Atlantic City Profile Study 2013



High-Case

Impacts of Ancillary Patron Spend (High Case)

Hotel Spillover

Total Out-of-Market Casino Visits

% of Out-of-Market Casino Visits from guests staying in a Hotel outside of Traditions

Total Casino Visits staying in outside hotels

ADR

Total Ancillary Patron Spend in Hotels

Other Spillover (includes, F&B, Entertainment, Retail, Transportation, etc.)

Total Out-of-Market Casino Visits

% of guests that will spend outside of the subject property

Total guests that will visit other attractions

Total Spend on non-gaming, non-hotel activities per day

% of spend outside of subject facility

Total Ancillary Patron Spend in the Community (excl. Hotel)

Total Ancillary Spend

Source: The Innovation Group, AGA 2013 Study, Atlantic City Profile Study 2013



Impact on Incremental Job Creation and Unemployment Rates

Broome County Employment Analysis

As noted, the casino and hotel are projected to require approximately 913 jobs¹ to operate.

Traditions Casino and Hotel Employment				
	Base	High	Low	
Casino & F&B Jobs	565	613	510	
Hotel Jobs	61	67	53	
Unallocated	216	234	204	
Number of New Employees	842	913	767	
Source: The Innovation Crown				

Source: The Innovation Group

These jobs are expected to be filled by a combination of local unemployed workers, local out-bound commuters, residents of neighboring counties, and new residents. Unemployment in the Binghamton MSA currently stands at an estimated 7,100 workers. Recent trends have shown declining unemployment; therefore for the future baseline condition we have used the 2006-2013 average, or approximately 6,800.

Year	Civilian labor force	Employment	Unemployment	Unemployment rate
2006	96,801	92,229	4,572	4.7%
2007	96,944	92,554	4,390	4.5%
2008	97,806	92,352	5,454	5.6%
2009	97,216	89,190	8,026	8.3%
2010	95,931	87,341	8,590	9.0%
2011	93,552	85,550	8,002	8.6%
2012	92,697	84,644	8,053	8.7%
2013	91,023	83,879	7,144	7.8%
Average	95,246	88,467	6,779	7.5%

Broome County - Employment Statistics

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Non-seasonally adjusted; The Innovation Group

The vast majority of residents and employees in Broome County do not leave the county in order to work or live, according to the most recent averages provided from the US Census between 2006 and 2010. An estimated 82% of people working in Broome County live in there as well, meaning just 18% commute in from other counties. Of all workers who live in Broome County, only 10% work in other counties.

Broome County - Commuting Patterns: Average 2006-2010

¹ Headcount, including full and part-time workers.

Broome County Work Force	98,988		
Live & work in Broome County	81,215		
% who live & work in Broome	82%		
Live elsewhere & commute IN	17,773		
% who commute IN	18%		
Total Number of Workers residing in Broome County	90,708		
Live in Broome and work elsewhere	9,493		
% who commute OUT	10%		
Source: US Concus, Commuting and Employment Data, 2006-2010			

Source: US Census - Commuting and Employment Data - 2006-2010 Average; The Innovation Group

As the following table shows, it is estimated that the existing area workforce will account for the vast majority of employment. Approximately 10% of workers are estimated to move into the area.

I raditions Casino Source o	asino Source of Workforce				
	Base	High	Low		
Number of New Employees	842	913	767		
% who will commute in from outside B.C.	35%	35%	35%		
Number of Commuters from outside B.C.	295	320	269		
B.C. Unemployed (Future estimate)	7,700	7,700	7,700		
% of Currently Unemployed that will find work	5.00%	5.50%	4.50%		
B.C. Unemployed back to work	385	424	347		
Live in B.C. and work elsewhere % that will now work in B.C. rather than	9,500	9,500	9,500		
commute	0.90%	0.95%	0.85%		
Commuters Staying within County	86	90	81		
Total from Existing Area Workforce	765	833	696		
New Workers Needed (net increase to B.C.)	77	80	71		
% of Total Casino Positions	10.10%	9.60%	10.30%		
Source: The Innovation Group					

Traditions Casino Source of Workforce

B.C.= Broome County

Unemployment is projected to decline by 385 workers in the base case as shown in the previous table, resulting in a decline in the unemployment rate to 7.2% as a result of the direct jobs created by operating the casino and hotel.

Year	Civilian labor force	Employment	Unemployment	Unemployment rate (%)
Future Baseline	95,246	88,467	6,779	7.5%
With Direct Impact	95,323	88,544	6,394	7.2%

Impact from Direct Jobs on Broome County Employment Base Case

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Non-seasonally adjusted; The Innovation Group

Additionally, the approximately 550 indirect and induced jobs attributable to direct employment and purchases of goods and services from local vendors would further reduce the unemployment rate.

Comparative Research

The Innovation Group collected labor force data from three Pennsylvania counties and MSAs that have similar markets to Broome County. Casinos opened in these three markets at the end of 2006 (Pocono Downs in Nov. 2006) or beginning of 2007 (Presque Isle Downs in Erie in Feb. 2007 and Chester Downs in Delaware County in Jan. 2007).

Pennsylvania Unemployment Trends					
Year	Wilkes Barre/ Scranton	Erie	Delaware Co. (Chester)	State	
2004	17,522	8,548	14,031	337,235	
2005	15,455	7,595	12,859	312,201	
2006	14,379	7,059	12,014	286,574	
2007	13,682	6,721	11,412	276,227	
Change 2007/2006	-4.80%	- 4.80%	-5.00%	-3.60%	
Source: The Innovation Group					

Source: The Innovation Group

The peer-group casinos have generally had a positive impact on unemployment, with unemployment declining in 2007 at a higher rate than that experienced statewide in Pennsylvania.